

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,713	02/09/2000	James R. Connor	98-2046	2989	
23535	7590 04/28/2003				
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			EXAMINER		
101 HOWARI SUITE 350			CHERNYSHE	CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N	
SAN FRANC	ANCISCO, CA 94105 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER		
			1646	\bigcirc	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,		09/500,713	CONNOR ET AL.			
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Olga N. Chernyshev	1646			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	ommunication(s) filed on 21	February 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FI		nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) 1,3-10,17,19 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,17,19 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is						
	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	is objected to by the Examine	ar .				
,	•	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	d (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/500,713

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 20, 21 and 22 have been cancelled and claims 1 and 17 have been amended as requested in the amendment of Paper No. 19, filed on February 21, 2003. Claims 1, 3-10, 17, 19 and 23-25 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 1, 3-10, 17, 19 and 23-25 are under examination in the instant office action.

- 2. The Text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Any objection or rejection of record, which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on February 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1, 3-10, 17, 19 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 17, as amended, are directed to a method for the detection of multiple sclerosis by comparing the degree of binding of iron binding protein with two brain tissue samples, a first brain tissue sample from a human suspected of having a demyelinating disease and a second normal brain tissue sample, wherein a difference in said binding confirms a detection of multiple sclerosis in first tissue sample. Claims 1 and 17 are vague and indefinite

Application/Control Number: 09/500,713

Art Unit: 1646

because it is not clear what difference or degree of difference is indicative of multiple sclerosis. Applicant submits that "[I]t is important to stress that any difference in binding of iron binding protein (between the first and the second samples) is diagnostic" (page 5, last paragraph of the Response). However, one skilled in the art would reasonably assume that any two brain tissue samples even from two normal unaffected individuals would display some differences in binding of iron binding protein, or, in another words, would not be completely identical in the pattern of binding distribution. Applicant further "submit[s] that (in view of the teaching provided by the Specification) it is *not necessary* to categorically recite, in the claims, whether said binding is either increased or decreased" (page 6, last paragraph). The Examiner maintains the position that the last step of the claimed method is indefinite because terms "degree" and "difference" are relative terms and until a point of reference is clearly identified, a skilled artisan would not know what level of "degree of binding" or "difference in said binding" is indicative of multiple sclerosis.

Claims 3-10 and 19 and 23-25 are indefinite for being dependent form indefinite claims.

Conclusion

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/500,713

Art Unit: 1646

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative

Application/Control Number: 09/500,713

Art Unit: 1646

number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. April 25, 2003

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800